





#### Transport and Hybrid Approaches

#### Hannah Elfner

June 24th, 2022, Karpacz Summer School Lecture









# The Plan for Lecture II

- Ingredients of Hybrid Approaches
  - Hydrodynamics and Transport
  - Initial conditions and Cooper-Frye surface
- Historical Overview
  - Development of hybrid approaches
  - Important results
- Interfaces between Hydrodynamics and Transport
  - Deviation from equilibrium in the initial stages
  - Negative contributions on Cooper-Frye surface
- One specific approach: SMASH-vHLLE hybrid
  - Application to beam energy scan energies
  - Results for hadronic rescattering

#### Hybrid Approaches: Ingredients

# Time Evolution of Heavy-Ion Collisions

 Detailed dynamical modeling is essential to learn something about hot and dense QGP stage



Hybrid approaches are current tool of choice

#### Hybrid approaches

#### Transport



Microscopic description of the whole phase-space distribution

Non-equilibrium evolution based on the Boltzmann equation

$$(p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu})f = I_{coll}$$

Partonic or hadronic degrees of freedom

Cross-sections are calculable using different techniques

Phase transition?



#### Hydrodynamics

Macroscopic description Local equilibrium is assumed

$$\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \partial_{\mu} \left( n u^{\mu} \right) = 0$$

+viscous corrections

Propagation according to conservation laws

Equation of state is an explicit input

Boundary conditions: Breakdown of equilibrium assumptions?

# General Ingredients and Interfaces

- Initial conditions:
  - Saturation based, e.g. IP-Glasma, Magma, EKRT
  - Nucleon based, e.g. MC Glauber
  - Dynamic approaches, e.g. NEXUS, UrQMD, AMPT, SMASH
  - Generic parameterization Trento
- Non-equilibrium evolution
  - Free-streaming
  - Linearized kinetic theory e.g. Kompost
  - Dynamic approaches (see above)
- Viscous hydrodynamics (often with boost invariance)
- Cooper-Frye switching hypersurface and sampling
- Hadronic rescattering with UrQMD or SMASH

# Applicability Limits

#### Goal

- Combine the two approaches in such a way, that switching is performed in region of joint applicability
- Transport theory is appropriate off equilibrium and for dilute systems
- Fluid dynamics is applicable, if energy-momentum tensor is close enough to a nearly ideal form
- Motivation
  - Hybrid approaches were developed to accommodate differential chemical and kinetic freeze-out for different particle species
  - Hydrodynamics breaking down for off-central collisions (small systems), low beam energies

# The Perfect Liquid

 In 2005, Brookhaven National Lab announced in a press release that RHIC had created a new state of hot and dense matter which behaves like an ideal liquid

#### A New Area of Physics

RHIC has created a new state of hot, dense matter out of the quarks and gluons that are the basic particles of atomic nuclei, but it is a state quite different and even more remarkable than had been predicted. Instead of behaving like a gas of free quarks and gluons, as was expected, the matter created in RHIC's heavy ion collisions is more like a liquid.



#### Gluons and guarks



Ions about to collide



#### Just after collision



#### Quark-Gluon Plasma

RHIC's perfect liquid also turns out to be the hottest matter ever created in a laboratory, measuring some 4 trillion degrees Celsius, or 250,000 times hotter than the center of the Sun.

#### Google Image search for 'perfect liquid'







Here is the heavy ion reaction!

#### A "Perfect" Liquid

RHIC scientists had expected collisions between two beams of gold nuclei to mimic conditions of the early universe and produce a gaseous plasma of the smallest components of matter - the guarks



at BNL

aken from website for RHIC

# Hydrodynamics

 Ideal/Viscous relativistic fluid dynamics

**Conservation Laws** 

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \partial_{\mu}(nu^{\mu}) = 0$$

• Macroscopic quantities like energy density, temperature, pressure  $T^{\mu\nu} = (c + P)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + c^{\mu\nu}P$ 

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}P$$

- Calculations on a grid with 8 Mio. cells that are evolved in time
- One can treat the phase transition via the equation of state (relation between pressure and temperature)





J. Steinheimer et al, J.Phys.G G38 (2011) 035001

## Viscous Hydrodynamics

- 3+1d viscous hydrodynamics is applied by many groups
  - -Stability against shocks is crucial for event-by-event calculations
- Equation of state that matches available lattice QCD data
- What about finite baryochemical potential?



H. Song, CPOD 2014

 Temperature and net baryon density dependent transport coefficients? Cross-conductivities and diffusion matrix?

#### Freeze-out Procedure

- Deconfinement/Confinement transition happens through equation of state in hydrodynamics
- Transition from hydro to transport when temperature/energy density is smaller than critical value
- Particle distributions are generated according to the Cooper-Frye formula  $E \frac{dN}{d^3p} = \int_{\sigma} f(x,p) p^{\mu} d\sigma_{\mu}$
- Same EoS on both sides of the transition hypersurface
- Rescatterings and final decays calculated via hadronic cascade

06/24/22

- Separation of chemical and kinetic freeze-out is taken into account
- Large viscosity in hadron gas stage!



#### Hadronic Transport

- Transport of a non-equilibrium system of microscopic particles
- All hadrons in the PDG up to masses of ~2.5 GeV
- Effective solution of Boltzmann equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}}\right] f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum_{processes} C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$$

- Point particles, binary collisions
- Whole evolution and history of the evolution including full phase space information





#### One Event at RHIC Energies



#### Hannah Elfner

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22

# Status of Theoretical Modeling

Ultimate Goal: Calculate two colliding nuclei at the speed of light as a dynamical many-body problem from the QCD Lagrangian



- Elements for a consistent description of the whole heavy ion reaction at highest RHIC and LHC energies:
  - Initial Conditions
  - Pre-equilibrium Evolution
  - Hydrodynamics
  - Hadronization

- Understanding of bulk properties, fluctuation observables,
- Background for hard probes, especially correlations
- Hadronic Rescattering and Freeze-out

#### Historical Overview

#### Based on HP J.Phys.G 41 (2014) 12, 124005

#### 3+1 D Ideal Event-by-Event Hydro+Cascade



Elliptic flow scaled by the initial eccentricity vs. density in the overlap region allows to compare different centralities and energies

Qualitative behaviour nicely reproduced in 3+1d transport+hydro approach (Uncertainty due to **eccentricity** calculation)

#### Hannah Elfner

### First Hybrid Approach



 Mainly the mean transverse momentum of protons is affected by hadronic rescattering

S. A. Bass and A. Dumitru, Phys. Rev. C 61 (2000) 064909, see also D. Teaney, J. Lauret and E. Shuryak nucl-th/0110037

### 3+1D Ideal Hydro+Cascade

- Transport evolution helps at forward rapidities and in peripheral collisions
- Mass splitting is increased during hadronic transport

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

T. Hirano, U. Heinz, D. Karzheev, R. Lacey and Y. Nara, PLB 636 (2006) 299 and PRC 77 (2008) 044909

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22

### 2+1D Viscous Hydro+UrQMD

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Suppression factor quantifies v2 reduction
- $v_2^{\mathrm{supp.}} = \frac{v_2^\mathrm{A} v_2^\mathrm{B}}{v_2^\mathrm{A}}$
- Hadronic rescattering yields more suppression of elliptic flow than viscous hydro (with η/s = 0.08) compared to ideal hydro

#### Hydrodynamics + Afterburner at RHIC

- Hybrid models describe bulk properties of the quark gluon plasma at RHIC
- Hadronic rescattering has effect on spectra and elliptic flow

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22

#### Hybrid Results at LHC

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bulk properties well-described by hybrid approaches also at the LHC

Hirano et al, Phys.Rev. C84 (2011) 011901

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22 v<sub>2</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>) for Identified Particles

 VISHNU compared to ALICE data on identified hadron elliptic flow
 Snellings, arXiv: 1411.7690

 $^{2}$ Pb-Pb / S<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV (ALICE preliminary) >~ 0.16E Pb-Pb / s<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV (ALICE preliminary) VISH2+1 Phys. Rev. C84, 044903 (2011) VISHNU Phys. Rev. C89, 034919 (2014) 0.25 0.14 centrality 40-50% centrality 10-20% 0.12 0.2 0.1 0.15 0.08 0.06 0.1 0.04 0.05 0.02 02 04 06 08 12 14 16 18 1  $0.2 \quad 0.4$ 0.61.2 2 2.2 0 14 1.6 1.8 *p*<sub>τ</sub> (GeV/*c*)  $p_{\tau}$  (GeV/c)

 In central collisions the rescattering destroys the mass ordering from original hydro calculation

### Final State Rescattering

- Why it matters:
  - -Separation of chemical and kinetic freeze-out
  - -Influences the dynamics of identified particles:
    - Increase of mean transverse momentum by up to 30%
    - Mass splitting for anisotropic flow
- How large is the effect on higher harmonics? What is the viscous correction to the distribution functions on the Cooper-Frye surface?

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

arXiv:1311.0157

et al,

Song (

### Viscous Hybrid

- Hybrid calculation confirms previously found low values of viscosity during the hot and dense stage of the evolution
- Initial Conditions yield a factor of 2 uncertainty

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

Elliptic flow from viscous hydrodynamics+hadron transport

### Status at QM 12

#### Summary table from J.-Y. Ollitrault (plenary at QM12)

Author/Presenter	QM2012	arXiv	initial fluctuations	3÷ld	viscous	afterburner
Huichao Song	ID	1207.2396			4	√
Teaney/Yan	IA	1206.1905			1	
Chun Shen	IA	1202.6620			√	
Sangyong Jeon	2A		√	√	1	√
Matt Luzum	2A				√	
Piotr Bozek	2C	1204.3580	√ √	√	1	
Björn Schenke	3A	1109.6289	1	1	1	
Dusling/Schaefer	3A	1109.5181			-∢	
Chiho Nonaka	3A	1204.4795	1	1	1	
Ryblewski/Florkowski	3D	1204.2624		√		
Longgang Pang	4D	1205.5019	√	√		
Hannah Petersen	VA	1201.1881	1	1		1
Fernando Gardim	6D	1111.6538	1	1		
Zhi Qiu	29	1208.1200	1		1	
Gardim/Grassi	52	1203.2882	1	1		
Katya Retinskaya	57	1203.0931			1	
Hirano/Murasc	255	1204.5814	1	1		1
Holopainen/Huovinen	284	1207.7331	1			
Asis Chaudhuri		1112.1166	1		1	
lurii Karpenko		1204.5351		√		✓
Yu-Liang Yan		1110.6704		1		1
osh Vredevoogd		1202.1509		1	1	
Ron Soltz		1208.0897			1	1
Rafael Derradi de Souza		1110.5698	1	1		

 + 10 more years of development support the ,standard model for heavy-ion physics' as hybrid approaches

## MUSIC+SMASH Hybrid

 Optional: Global conservation laws and broad mass distributions for resonances at particlization

MUSIC+UrQMD from S. Ryu et al, PRC 97 (2018)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Results for bulk observables are similar as within UrQMD
- Effects on spectra and mass splitting of elliptic flow consistent with prior findings

#### IP-Glasma+MUSIC+UrQMD

 Hybrid approach applied to many systems at different energies

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

B. Schenke et al, Nucl. Phys. A 1005 (2021)

v<sub>2/3</sub> are very well described

## JETSCAPE https://jetscape.org

 Open source framework with modules for all stages of collision (including energy loss modules)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

 JETSCAPE summer school from July 25-August 5 2022 <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1162218/</u>

#### Initial State Interface

#### Sources of Fluctuations

- Density profiles are not smooth, but there are local peaks in transverse and longitudinal direction
- Impact parameter fluctuations within one specific centrality class, multiplicity fluctuations and differences in initial geometry
- Event plane rotation with respect to reaction plane in the laboratory
- -> All these effects are averaged out if assuming a smooth symmetric initial density profile

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

J.Steinheimer et al., PRC 77,034901,2008

Included in dynamical models of the initial state (e.g. a parton cascade, NEXUS/EPOS, UrQMD) or in Glauber or CGC Monte Carlo approaches

Hannah Elfner

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22

#### Initial Conditions from Dynamical Approaches

#### • The initial T<sup>µv</sup> for hydrodynamics has to be given via:

$$\epsilon(x, y, z), p(x, y, z) \text{ and } n(x, y, z)$$

- Energy deposition model needs to describe final dE<sub>T</sub>/dy in p-p and A-A correctly
- Granularity is influenced by
  - Shape of the incoming nuclei
  - Distribution of binary collisions
  - Interaction mechanism
  - Degree of thermalization

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

- Differences in shape and fluctuations need to be quantified
  - Challenge: How is local equilibrium reached so fast?

### What is Usually Done?

 To calculate the energy-momentum tensor and four-current from particles a smearing kernel (Gaussian) is used:

$$T_{init}^{\mu\nu}(r) = \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{\mu} p_{i}^{\nu}}{p_{i}^{0}} K(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r_{i}}, \boldsymbol{p})$$
$$j_{init}^{\mu}(r) = \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{\mu}}{p_{i}^{0}} K(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r_{i}}, \boldsymbol{p})$$

 Assuming that the resulting tensor has the form for relativistic ideal fluid dynamics, the following equations are solved iteratively

$$\begin{cases} T^{00} = (\epsilon + p)\gamma^2 - p \\ T^{0i} = (\epsilon + p)\gamma^2 \boldsymbol{v} \\ j^0_B = n\gamma \\ p = p_{EoS}(n, \epsilon) \end{cases}$$

 The other option: Solve the eigenvalue problem and decompose the tensor in the Landau frame

#### **Different Approaches**

Model	Initial condition	Ilydro	Switching criterion	Smearing kernel	Getting $T^{\mu u}_{ideal}$
UrQMD hybrid [12]	UrQMD cascade	ideal 3+1D, SHASTA	$t_{CM} [\text{fm/c}] = \\ max(2R\sqrt{\frac{E_{lab}}{2m_N}}, 1.0)$	Gaussian z-contracted	$T^{\mu 0},j^0$
Skokov-Toneev hybrid [13]	Quark-Gluon- String-Model	ideal 3+1D, SHASTA	$t_{CM}$ such that $S/Q_B = \text{const}$	not mentioned	$T^{\mu 0}, j^0$
EPOS [15]	Strings (Regge- Gribov model)	ideal 3+1D	τ	Gaussian z-contracted	Landau frame
NeXSPheRIO hybrid [16, 17]	Strings (Regge- Gribov model)	ideal 3+1D, SPII	$\tau = 1   {\rm fm}   [18]$	Gaussian in $x, y, \tau \eta$	Landau frame
Gale et al $[19]$	IP-glasma	viscous 3+1D, MUSIC	$ au = 0.2 \text{ fm/c} (\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV})$	not mentioned	Landau frame
Karpenko hybrid [20]	UrQMD cascade	viscous 3+1D	$ au_{geom}$	Gaussian with $\sigma_{\perp}$ and $\sigma_{\eta}$	$T^{\mu 0},j^0$
Pang et al hybrid [21]	AMPT	ideal 3+1D, SHASTA	τ	Gaussian with $\sigma_{\perp}$ and $\sigma_{\eta}$	$T^{\mu 0}, j^0$

D. Oliinychenko and HP, *Phys.Rev.C* 93 (2016) 3, 034905

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22

### Coarse-Grained UrQMD

- 1. Several thousands Au+Au collisions at  $E_{lab} = 5-160$ AGeV beam energy and different centralities
- 2. Calculate  $T^{\mu\nu}$  on a space-time grid
- 3. Transform to the Landau rest frame
- Investigate locally two measures of isotropization:
  - Pressure anisotropy:

$$X \equiv \frac{|T_L^{11} - T_L^{22}| + |T_L^{22} - T_L^{33}| + |T_L^{33} - T_L^{11}|}{T_L^{11} + T_L^{22} + T_L^{33}} \ll 1$$

- Off-diagonality:  

$$Y \equiv \frac{3(|T_L^{12}| + |T_L^{23}| + |T_L^{13}|)}{T_L^{11} + T_L^{22} + T_L^{33}} \ll 1$$

X,Y ≤ 0.3 → viscous hydrodynamics applicable

D. Oliinychenko and HP, *Phys.Rev.C* 93 (2016) 3, 034905

# Time Evolution

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

- E<sub>lab</sub> = 80A GeV, b=6 fm, pressure anisotropy
- After initial collisions anisotropy develops minimum over a large region in space
- Later stages: Rise due to resonance decays

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Number of Events

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

- In single events only small amount of the area is isotropic
- Off-diagonality is small in more than 80 % of area

### Centrality Dependence

 Isotropization time deviates from geometrical overlap criterion for higher beam energies

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Centrality dependence is weaker than expected from geometry  $t_0(b) = t_0(b = 0) + \frac{R}{\gamma v}(1 - \sqrt{1 - (b/2R)^2})$ 

#### Lower Beam Energies

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

- X,Y<0.3 Fraction of 4D volume is 60-80% independent of system size, energy and C+C, Elab=24GeV, b=0-1.2fm +KCl, E<sub>lob</sub>=1.756AGeV, b=0-1.784fm +Ag, E<sub>lab</sub>=1.58AGeV, b=0-2.44fm centrality of the collision Au+Au, Elab=1.23AGeV, b=0-3.3fm Au+Au, E<sub>lab</sub>=1.23AGeV, b=3.3-6.6fm Au+Au, Elab=1.23AGeV, b=6.6-10.4fm Au+Au, E<sub>lab</sub>=3.4AGeV, b=0-3.3fm Au+Au, Elab=8AGeV, b=0-3.3fm
- Similar equilibration study was performed at lower beam energies within SMASH
  - Significant fraction of the system is reaching

Au+Au, Elab=12AGeV, b=0-3.3fm Au+Au, \starset{starset}}}}

### Kinetic theory matching..

 A lot of effort to gain a better understanding of initial nonequilibrium stages within classical Yang-Mills calculations and kinetic theory
 A. Kurkela et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 122 (2019) 12

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

 Kompost is an example for linearised kinetic theory to allow for smoother transition to hydrodynamics

#### Final State Interface

# Hypersurface Finding

- Cornelius: 3D hypersurface in 4 dimensions
- Constant energy density
- Avoiding holes and doublecounting
- Applicable as a subroutine during hydro run
- Input: 16-tuples of spatiotemporal information
- Output: Hypersurface vectors and interpolated thermodynamic quantities

![](_page_40_Figure_7.jpeg)

P. Huovinen, H.P. arXiv: 1206.3371 Fortran and C++ subroutines, cornelius, implementations of this algorithm in 3D and 4D, are available at <u>https://karman.physics.purdue.edu/OSCAR</u>

# Negative Contributions

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $d\sigma_{\mu}$  - normal 4-vector  $u_{\mu} = (\gamma, \gamma \overrightarrow{v})$  - 4-velocity T - temperature  $\mu$  - chemical potential

• Definition:

Reference to PRC 78, 2016

 $p^{\mu}d\sigma_{\mu} < 0$ 

- Particles outward:  $p^{\mu}d\sigma_{\mu} > 0$
- Particles inward:
- Different options:
  - Account for feedback in hydro K. Bugaev, Phys Rev Lett. 2003; L. Czernai, Acta Phys. Hung., 2005
  - Account effectively by weights in transport
     S. Pratt, Phys. Rev. C89 (2014) 2, 024910
  - Neglect them and violate conservation laws
- Systematic study of the size of negative contributions by comparison to actual transport

### Hypersurface Results

- Energy and net baryon number conservation on hypersurface  $E = \int T^{0\mu} d\sigma_{\mu}$  and  $B = \int n_B u^{\mu} d\sigma_{\mu}$ .
- where  $d\sigma_{\mu}T^{\mu 0} \ge 0$  and  $d\sigma_{\mu}n_{B}u^{\mu} \ge 0$  specify the positive and negative contributions
- Results at RHIC for central and mid-central collisions

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

06/24/22

#### Hypersurfaces

• Iso-energy density hypersurfaces ( $\epsilon_c = 0.3 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ ) represent distributions in temperature

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

06/24/22

### Mass Dependence

 Rapidity spectra of pions, kaons and protons at E<sub>lab</sub> = 40A GeV central Au+Au collisions

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

D. Oliinychenko, P. Huovinen and HP, *Phys.Rev.C* 91 (2015) 2

- Negative contributions are negligible for more massive particles
  - Concentrate on pions in the following

### Energy Dependence

- Maximum at Elab~25 AGeV, decreasing at higher energies
- Actual particles are always less likely to fly inward

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Negative contributions are larger at small  $p_T$ 

D. Oliinychenko, P. Huovinen and HP, Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) 2

### Centrality Dependence

- Ratio of surface to volume emission varies with centrality
- Due to larger relative flow velocities the negative contributions are larger in more central events

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Sampling Procedure

- Each event has specific quantum numbers
  - Global conservation laws are fulfilled in UrQMD hybrid
- Usually conservation laws are only fulfilled on average

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Local Conservation Laws

- Micro-canonical sampler is publicly available
- Single-particle observables are not sensitive, but correlations and fluctuations are
- Sensitivity to size of region where conservation applies

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

D. Oliinychenko, V. Koch, Phys.Rev.Lett. 123 (2019) 18

Extra: Include spectral functions in sampling process

Karpacz Lecture 06/24/22

#### SMASH-vHLLE Hybrid Approach

## SMASH-vHLLE Hybrid Approach

- Modular hybrid approach for intermediate and high energy heavy-ion collisions
- Open source and public

#### SMASH

- Hadronic transport approach
- Initial conditions

#### VHLLE

- 3+1 D viscous hydrodynamics (event-by-event)
- Cornelius routine for hypersurface

#### https://github.com/smashtransport/smash-vhlle-hybrid

#### smash-hadron-sampler

- Cooper-Frye sampler
- Particlization of fluid elements

A. Schäfer et al., arXiv: 2112.08724
Weil et al.: PRC 94 (2016)
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3484711
Huovinen et al.: Eur. Phys. J A 48 (2012)
Karpenko et al.: PRC 91, 064901 (2015)
Karpenko et al.: Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014)

#### SMASH

- Hadronic transport approach
- Evolution of hadronic rescattering

## Initial Conditions from SMASH

A. Schäfer, PhD thesis

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Nuclei are initialised according to Woods-Saxon profiles
- Propagation and collisions until full overlap time  $R_p + R_t$

 Full energy-momentum tensor and charge distributions (B, S, Q) at constant τ hypersurface

- Fluctuations from nucleon positions and initial collisions
- Particles are smeared with Gaussian distributions

 $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ 

# $\vee HIIE$

- 3+1 dimensional viscous hydrodynamic evolution
- Shear (and bulk) viscosity are included  $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}$

$$= 0 \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}J_{i}^{\mu} = 0 \quad i = B, Q, S$$

Equation of state from chiral model (update in progress)

Karpenko et al.: PRC 91, 064901 (2015) Karpenko et al.: Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014)

- For correct mapping of degrees of freedom on hypersurface the SMASH hadron gas equation of state is used
- $(e, n_B, n_Q) \rightarrow (T, p, \mu_B, \mu_Q, \mu_S)$

J. Steinheimer, S. Schramm and H. Stöcker, J.Phys.G 38 (2011)

![](_page_52_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Cooper-Frye** Particlization

- Constant energy density hypersurface of ~2-5\*ε<sub>0</sub> is constructed
- All SMASH hadron species are sampled according to thermal distribution functions (with δf correction for shear viscosity according to Grad 14 moment)

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Work in progress:
  - Sampling according to micro canonical ensemble
  - Local conservation of quantum numbers important for charge correlation observables

D. Oliinychenko, V. Koch, PRL 123 (2019)

#### Hadronic Rescattering

- Final state rescattering and resonance decays are handled within the hadronic transport approach SMASH
- Depending on switching transition a significant amount of elliptic flow is still generated

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Non-trivial interplay of transport and hydrodynamic evolution at low and intermediate beam energies
- Dynamical initial conditions are work in progress

N. Götz, QM 2022

#### Particle Spectra

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Rapidity and transverse mass spectra of pions, kaons, protons at different energies -> Hybrid approach in decent agreement with measurements

## Excitation Function

 Particle yields at midrapidity are well described over a large range of beam energies
 A. Schäfer et al., arXiv: 2112.08724

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Mean transverse momentum is also well described by the hybrid approach (too small in pure SMASH)
- More strangeness production and larger radial flow from hydrodynamics necessary from  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  ~ 10 GeV

# Particle Yields LHC

- Multiplicities can be fitted with thermal model
- At LHC the proton yield is rather low

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Particle Yields LHC

- Multiplicities can be fitted with thermal model A. Andronic et al, PLB 792 (2019)
- At LHC the proton yield is rather low

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Remedied by S-matrix approach
- Alternative explanation: Baryon annihilations
- Light nuclei are reproduced despite small binding energies

# Multi-Particle Interactions

 At high densities multiparticle interactions will become relevant

PhD thesis, J. Staudenmaier 2021

![](_page_59_Figure_3.jpeg)

•  $\omega \leftrightarrow 3\pi$ ,  $B\bar{B} \leftrightarrow 5\pi$ ,  $M \leftrightarrow N$ 

2<->2, 2<->1, 3<->1, 2<->3 and 5<->2 are implemented

- Application to deuteron production and  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation in the following

### Proton Annihilation at LHC

- First implementation of direct back reaction of 5 pions to proton-antiproton
   O. Garcia-Montero et al., arXiv:2107.08812
- Alternative treatment via intermediate resonances

![](_page_60_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Stochastic rates agree with the rate equation results
- Detailed balance is fulfilled in infinite hadronic matter

# Time Evolution

- Results at lower beam energies are not affected, since there are only few anti-baryons in the system
- Results from resonance approach (open symbols) and stochastic rates (lines) agree surprisingly well
- Backreaction restores about 50% of the annihilated proton yield
- Hadronic rescattering affects proton yields

![](_page_61_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_6.jpeg)

Hannah Elfner

### Fraction of Backreaction

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Independent of beam energy and centrality the backreaction happens in ~20% of the cases  $5\pi$ ->  $p\bar{p}$
- $p\bar{p}$  annihilations can serve as a proxy for  $B\bar{B}$  annihilations in general

### **Deuteron Production**

- Deuterons and their cross-sections are implemented in SMASH
- During rescattering at LHC interactions with pions dominate

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

 Full microscopic non-equilibrium evolution in contrast to statistical production or coalescence approaches

### Centrality Dependence

Centrality dependence agrees well with ALICE data

D. Oliinychenko, L.-G. Pang, HE, V. Koch, MDPI Proc. 10, 2019 and PRC 99, 2019

![](_page_64_Figure_3.jpeg)

 The amount of deuterons on the hyper surface does not matter for the final yields

#### Deuterons at Low Beam Energies

Direct 3<->2 reaction lead to even faster equilibration

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

Perfect match to rate equation results in a box

# Hottest Matter in World

arXiv:1401.2481

Temperature from photon spectra

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

- This is worth an entry in the Guinness book of records
- ~3000 times as hot as the inner core of the sun
- Photons are emitted throughout all stages and do not interact strongly

# Photons

- Perturbative photon production in hadronic scatterings of pions and  $\rho$  mesons
- Cross-sections calculated within effective field theory

![](_page_67_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Rates in thermal box nicely reproduced
   A. Schäfer et al, PRD 99 (2019)
- Bremsstrahlung from mesonic processes has been added

## Photon Emission Hadronic Stage

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Photon production from MUSIC+SMASH at RHIC and LHC energies
- Direct photons from hadronic scatterings and bremsstrahlung are consistently implemented
- Total elliptic flow is affected by photons emitted from the hadronic rescattering

A. Schäfer et al., PRC 105 (2022)

# Summary

- Hybrid approaches based on relativistic hydrodynamics and hadron transport provide realistic dynamical description
- Over the last ~20 years hybrid approaches have become more and more sophisticated
- State-of-the-art is 3+1 D viscous hydrodynamics with hadronic transport for final rescattering and varying initial conditions
- Interfaces are crucial to understand sensitivities
  - Initial non-equilibrium evolution needs further investigations
  - Final state matching on Cooper-Frye hypersurface
- SMASH-vHLLE hybrid approach is publicly available
- Hadronic rescattering matters at RHIC and LHC for particle spectra, mass ordering of elliptic flow, proton yields, light nuclei production and direct photon flow
- Many Bayesian analysis are applied based on hybrid approaches

# Questions

- What are the boundary conditions for hydrodynamics?
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of hydrodynamics and transport?
- What are negative contributions on the Cooper-Frye hypersurface and how can one deal with them?
- How is hydrodynamization achieved so fast?
- Which conservation laws should be fulfilled in the dynamical time evolution? Are they?
- What are the main effects of hadronic rescattering in high energy heavy-ion collisions?
- What are the sources of fluctuations in the initial state?
- What are the challenges for hybrid approaches at lower beam energies?