# Observing gravitational waves from astrophysical sources

Marek Szczepańczyk Department of Physics, University of Warsaw

Brainstorming Workshop Institute of Theoretical Physics Warsaw, 04.09.2024

#### Return to Poland

- Ph.D., ~5 years: Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University (Arizona)
- Postdoc, ~5 years: University of Florida
- Assistant Professor, present (permanent position and a Polish Returns grant): University of Warsaw



### Outline

- Gravitational-Wave Astrophysics
	- Exceptional GW sources
- Gravitational-wave searches
	- Model-independent searches
	- Observing Run 4
- Gravitational-wave searches for core-collapse supernovae
- Summary

# Gravitational-Wave Astrophysics

### The Dynamic Universe

Quadrupole formula for GW production:

$$
\mathbf{h}_{ij}^{TT}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{D}\ddot{Q}_{ij}(t - D/c, \mathbf{x})
$$

We need aspherical mass-energy movement.

GW sources:

- "Vanila", e.g. stellar-mass binary black holes
- **● Exceptional!**



Image: NSF/LIGO/Sonoma/A. Simonnet



AURORE SIMONNET/LIGO/CALTECH/MIT/SONOMA STATE

## Exceptional GW sources

**Exceptional astrophysical sources might play the key role in our endeavor of exploring the Universe.**

- **● New GW source populations**:
	- Compact binaries: binaries with eccentric orbits, hyperbolic encounters, head-on collisions, sub-solar mass binaries, extreme mass ratio
	- GW bursts: core-collapse supernovae, neutron star or pulsar glitches, cosmic strings
- **● Multi-messenger GW sources (electromagnetic waves, neutrinos, cosmic rays)**: BNS, NSBH, BNS post-merger
- **● GW sources with new phenomena (usually weaker effects)**:
	- GR: pre- and post-merger higher harmonics, GW cross-polarization, black hole kicks, GW memory, effects of precession, high spins, black hole formation etc.
	- Beyond GR: GW echo, beyond-quadrupolar GW polarizations,

# Gravitational-Wave detectors

- GWs passing through two objects change distance between them.
- GW detectors: interferometers (the longer, the more sensitive)
- Preferably far away from human activities.





#### Detectors network



- GEO and KAGRA recently joined observations
- LIGO India under construction
- NEMO planned Australian high-frequency detector

# Observing Timeline



# Gravitational-wave searches

# GW searches

- Types:
	- **○ Model-dependent (template based):** binary black holes (BBH), binary neutron stars or binary black hole - neutron star
	- **○ Model-independent (template-independent) or "burst":** for example core-collapse supernovae, cosmic strings, as well as regular or special binaries, such as heavy/eccentric BBHs
- Latency:
	- **○ Low-latency**: rapid (within seconds to minutes) identification of the GW sources and preliminary validation (within hour) for quick astronomical follow-up.
	- **○ Offline**: identification of GWs after data acquisition, weeks or even years.



Image: NSF/LIGO/Sonoma/A. Simonnet



Crab Nebula

# Model-dependent searches Matched-filtering

- **● Cross-correlating data with waveform templates**
- The template signals from compact binaries are derived from General Relativity.
- The method requires accurate waveform models. To the leading order, the waveform morphology depends on the chirp mass and effective spin.
- Missing parameter space or having an inaccurate model may result in missing a detection.
- Example algorithms: GstLAL, PyCBC, SPIIR, MBTA



#### Model-independent searches coherent WaveBurst

- **● Coherent WaveBurst** (cWB, Klimenko+16) is a software designed to detect a wide range of burst transients without prior knowledge of the signal morphology
- cWB uses minimal assumptions, for example growing frequency over time in case of binaries
- Complementing matched filtering
- $cWB$  has detected:
	- **○ GW150914 the very first GW (PRL 116, 061102)**
	- **○ GW190521 an intermediate mass binary black hole (PRL 125, 101102)**
	- several GWs together with template based searches
- **● The cWB is the most sensitive burst algorithm in O4**





#### coherent WaveBurst (cWB)



# Time-frequency maps (GW150914 example)

- Challenges:
	- Temporal leakage (time domain)
	- Spectral leakage (frequency domain)
	- Combining resolutions
- Latest developments on high-resolution time-frequency transform and minimizing leakage:

Klimenko+22 "wavescan" ([2201.01096\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01096)



Likelihood 641 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 136



#### Model-independent searches classification





Public alerts for multi-messenger observations: electromagnetic, cosmic rays, and neutrino

Higher harmonics GW cross-polarization Deviations from GR

# Observing Run 4

- O4: 24 months total, until Jun 2025
- BNS ranges:  $140-180$  Mpc (LIGO), around 55 Mpc (Virgo)
- The duty cycle for Hanford and Livingston is around 70-80%.
- Public communication about the observing run:
	- OpenLVEM:

#### <https://wiki.gw-astronomy.org/OpenLVEM>

○ Latest plans:

<https://observing.docs.ligo.org/plan/>

- KAGRA:
	- **○ Hit by 7.6 magnitude earthquake**
	- Several months delay

gw<br>astro

# Observing Run 4

- Live detector status: <https://online.igwn.org/>
- Daily detector status: [https://gwosc.org/detector\\_status/](https://gwosc.org/detector_status/)
- Public data release is 18 months after data collection





# Observing Run 4

- $GW$  candidates: 81 (O4a) and 24 (O4b so far)
- Detection rate: **3 per week**
- Almost all events are BBHs
	- NSBH/BNS: 9 events with non-zero probability
- Matched filtering: GstLAL, PyCBC, SPIIR, MBTA
- GW Bursts: cWB, oLIB
	- cWB-generic: generic searches for GW bursts
	- cWB-BBH: compact binaries



**GW230929** (Abbott+25) - 2.5-4.5 Mo Compact Object and a Neutron Star

#### <https://gracedb.ligo.org/>

O4 Significant Detection Candidates: 105 (119 Total - 14 Retracted)

04 Low Significance Detection Candidates: 1987 (Total)

# Observing Run 4 cWB-BBH search

cWB-BBH search:

- Search for stellar- and intermediate-mass black holes.
- **● It's capable to detect "vanilla" and special/exceptional compact binaries (e.g. GW150914 or GW190521)**
- Complementing matched filtering
- It detects around 80% of BBHs identified by matched filtering searches (for the Hanford-Livingston network)
- So far 3 alerts were sent publicly (non-significant)

### Public alerts

- General (example plots: [S231226av](https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/S231226av/view/)):
	- Sky localization
	- Distance
	- Source classification
	- Detection pipeline
- Additional information for burst event alerts:
	- $\circ$  "Fluence" ~ GW energy
	- Peak frequency
	- Duration
- $S200114f$  a burst public alert in O3, later classified as noise
- No burst public alerts so far in O4



 $-30°$ 

 $-60$ 

 $-30°$ 

 $60<sup>o</sup>$ 

# Searches for Core-Collapse Supernovae

# Optically Targeted searches

**While waiting for the Galactic event, we search for GWs from extra-Galactic CCSNe (targets).**

O1-O2 data (5 CCSN up to 20 Mpc, [1908.03584](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.03584)):

- First constraints of CCSN engine
- O3 data (9 CCSN up to 30 Mpc, [2305.16146](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.16146)):
	- First upper limits on GW power and ellipticity
	- Continuation of constraining extreme emission models





2024.09.04 Observing gravitational waves from astrophysical sources, Warsaw

#### O3 Optically Targeted search (Szczepanczyk et al. 2023)

- No GW detection so far
- Most significant event for SN 2020fqv: 2.8 sigma significance
- Detection range: distance at 50% detection efficiency
	- Neutrino-driven explosions: up to 13.7 kpc
	- Magnetorotationally-driven explosions: up to 15.9 kpc
	- QCD phase transition: up to 2.1 kpc
	- Black hole formation: up to 0.8 kpc
	- Extreme emission models: several Mpc



#### O3 Optically Targeted search (Szczepanczyk et al. 2023)

- Extensive constraints of the CCSN engine.
	- Assuming monochromatic (narrowband) emission
- GW energy constraints
	- Isotropic emission
	- Stringest: **1x10<sup>−</sup><sup>4</sup> M**<sup>⊙</sup> **c<sup>2</sup>**
- GW power (luminosity) constraints
	- First observational constraints
	- Stringest: **5x10<sup>−</sup><sup>4</sup> M**<sup>⊙</sup> **c<sup>2</sup> /s**



#### Parameter Estimation

Recently a lot of efforts to extract physical parameters from CCSN. See review in Mezzacappa&Zanolin+24 ([2401.11635\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11635), examples:

- Proto-neutron star (PNS) evolution: Casallas-Lagos+23 ([2304.11498](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.11498)), Bizouard+21 [\(2012.00846\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.00846),
- Equation of State: Edwards $+21$  [\(2009.07367\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07367),
- $SN$  kicks (GW memory): Richardson+21  $(2109.01582)$  $(2109.01582)$  $(2109.01582)$
- Standing Accretion Shock Instability: Takeda+21 ([2107.05213](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.05213))
- PNS rotation: Chan+21 [\(ADS](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021PhRvD.103j3024C/abstract)), Hayama+18 ([1802.03842](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03842))
- Rotation properties: Pastor-Marcos+23 ([2308.03456\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.03456), Villegas+23 ([2304.01267](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.01267))



#### Summary

- Gravitational-Wave Astrophysics
	- The exceptional GW sources may play a key role in exploring the Universe and fundamental physics
- Gravitational-wave searches
	- Model-independent searches are suitable for observing exceptional events
	- Observing Run 4: around GW events so far
- Core-collapse supernovae are rare but golden events.